

South Ribble Borough Council Audit Progress Report and Sector Update

Year ending 31 March 2022

May 2022



Contents

Section	Page
Introduction	3
Progress at May 2022	4
Audit Fees	6
Audit Deliverables	8
Financial Reporting Council annual report	9
Sector Update	11

The contents of this report relate only to the matters which have come to our attention, which we believe need to be reported to you as part of our audit planning process. It is not a comprehensive record of all the relevant matters, which may be subject to change, and in particular we cannot be held responsible to you for reporting all of the risks which may affect the Authority or all weaknesses in your internal controls. This report has been prepared solely for your benefit and should not be quoted in whole or in part without our prior written consent. We do not accept any responsibility for any loss occasioned to any third party acting, or refraining from acting on the basis of the content of this report, as this report was not prepared for, nor intended for, any other purpose.

Grant Thornton UK LLP is a limited liability partnership registered in England and Wales: No.OC307742. Registered office: 30 Finsbury Square, London, EC2A 1AG. A list of members is available from our registered office. Grant Thornton UK LLP is authorised and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority. Grant Thornton UK LLP is a member firm of Grant Thornton International Ltd (GTIL). GTIL and the member firms are not a worldwide partnership. Services are delivered by the member firms. GTIL and its member firms are not agents of, and do not obligate, one another and are not liable for one another's acts or omissions.

Introduction

Your key Grant Thornton team members are:

Georgia Jones

Key Audit Partner

T 0161 214 6383

E Georgia.s.jones@uk.gt.com

Matt Derrick

Senior Manager

T 0141 223 0656

E Matt.F.Derrick@uk.gt.com

Learizza Paat

Senior In Charge Auditor

T 01908 087411

E Learizza.g.Paat@uk.gt.com

This paper provides the Governance Committee with a report on progress in delivering our responsibilities as your external auditors.

The paper also includes:

- a summary of emerging national issues and developments that may be relevant to you as a local authority; and
- includes a number of challenge questions in respect of these emerging issues which the Committee may wish to consider (these are a tool to use, if helpful, rather than formal questions requiring responses for audit purposes)

Members of the Governance Committee can find further useful material on our website, where we have a section dedicated to our work in the public sector. Here you can download copies of our publications <https://www.grantthornton.co.uk/en/services/public-sector-services/>

If you would like further information on any items in this briefing, or would like to register with Grant Thornton to receive regular email updates on issues that are of interest to you, please contact either your Engagement Lead or Engagement Manager.

Progress at May 2022

Financial Statements Audit

We undertook our initial planning and interim work for the 2021/22 audit in March and April 2022. We expect to begin our work on your draft financial statements in late June.

Our interim fieldwork includes:

- Updated review of the Authority's control environment
- Updated understanding of financial systems
- Review of Internal Audit reports on core financial systems
- Understanding how the Authority makes material estimates for the financial statements

Also on this agenda is our detailed audit plan, setting out our proposed approach to the audit of the Authority's 2021/22 financial statements.

We will report our work in the Audit Findings Report and aim to give our opinion on the Statement of Accounts by 30 November 2022.

The Accounts and Audit (Amendment) Regulations 2021 push back the date by which principal authorities need to publish their draft financial statements to the first working day of August. The Department for Levelling Up, Communities and Housing (DLUHC) states that they intend, subject to consultation, to introduce secondary legislation to extend the deadline for publishing audited local authority accounts to 30 November 2022 for the 2021/22 accounts.

Value for Money

The new Code of Audit Practice (the "Code") came into force on 1 April 2020 for audit years 2020/21 and onwards. The most significant change under the new Code was the introduction of an Auditor's Annual Report, containing a commentary on arrangements to secure value for money and any associated recommendations, if required.

The new approach is more complex, more involved and is planned to make more impact.

Under the 2020 Code of Audit Practice, for relevant authorities other than local NHS bodies auditors are required to issue our Auditor's Annual Report no later than 30 September or, where this is not possible, issue an audit letter setting out the reasons for delay.

As a result of the ongoing pandemic, and the impact it has had on both preparers and auditors of accounts to complete their work as quickly as would normally be expected, the National Audit Office has updated its guidance to auditors to allow us to postpone completion of our work on arrangements to secure value for money and focus our resources firstly on the delivery of our opinions on the financial statements. This is intended to help ensure as many as possible could be issued in line with national timetables and legislation. The extended deadline for the issue of the Auditor's Annual Report is now no more than three months after the date of the opinion on the financial statements. We anticipate issuing our Auditor's Annual Report in November 2022.

Progress at May 2022 (cont.)

Other areas

Certification of claims and returns

We certify the Authority's annual Housing Benefit Subsidy claim in accordance with procedures agreed with the Department for Work and Pensions (DWP). The certification work for the 2020/21 claim began in November. DWP extended the deadline for reporting the findings of this work to 28 February 2022. We completed our work and reported to DWP on 25 March 2022. This was later than the deadline as we identified an error that required additional testing.

Meetings

We meet regularly with the Director of Finance as part of our liaison meetings and continue to be in discussions with finance staff regarding emerging developments and to ensure the audit process is smooth and effective.

We also met with your Chief Executive in April to discuss the Authority's strategic priorities and plans.

We have also provided training to your finance team with a view to helping the audit progress smoothly this year. This included detail around the standard of audit evidence required. We continue to liaise with finance officers to try to ensure an efficient audit for 2021/22.

Events

We provide a range of workshops, along with network events for members and publications to support the Authority. Your officers attended our Accounts Workshop in January and February 2022, where we highlighted financial reporting requirements for local authority accounts and gave insight into elements of the audit approach.

Further details of the publications that may be of interest to the Authority are set out in our Sector Update section of this report.

Audit Fees

Audit Fees

During 2017, PSAA awarded contracts for audit for a five year period beginning on 1 April 2018. 2021/22 is the fourth year of that contract. Since that time, there have been a number of developments within the accounting and audit profession. Across all sectors and firms, the Financial Reporting Council (FRC) has set out its expectation of improved financial reporting from organisations and the need for auditors to demonstrate increased scepticism and challenge and to undertake additional and more robust testing.

Our work in the Local Government sector in the period 2018/19 to 2021/22 has highlighted areas where financial reporting, in particular, property, plant and equipment and pensions, needs to improve. There is also an increase in the complexity of Local Government financial transactions and financial reporting. This combined with the FRC requirement that all Local Government audits are at or above the “few improvements needed” (2A) rating means that additional audit work is required.

We have reviewed the impact of these changes on both the cost and timing of audits. We have discussed this with your s151 Officer including any proposed variations to the Scale Fee set by PSAA Limited, and have communicated fully with the Governance Committee.

As a firm, we are absolutely committed to meeting the expectations of the FRC with regard to audit quality and local government financial reporting.

Additional fees for 2020/21

In our Audit Findings Report presented to the Governance Committee on 29 September 2021 and our Annual Auditor Report presented on 29 March 2022, we identified a number of issues. As a result we are proposing an additional fee of £35k in relation to the 2020/21 audit.

The reasons for the additional fee are:

- IT system upgrade – some officers could not access the South Ribble system following the upgrade which meant there were delays in providing the initial audit requests for transaction/balance listings etc. As an example, we requested the information we would need for sampling to be provided on 2nd July – we actually received most of these requests 12-14th July. This is key to the audit as without the information we requested we were unable to select samples and without selecting samples we were unable to start the testing. As the majority of audit figures require a sample to be selected this impacted substantially in needing additional resource and delays to the audit overall. As we had a full team allocated to the audit at the start this did impact significantly in terms of delaying our work, the team being unable to work efficiently and the additional resource required to backfill this time.
- Delays with valuer - all detailed audit queries had been sent by week commencing 23 August. Initial responses were received from valuer 30 September, however there was insufficient information provided. Further responses were not received until 15 October. This added additional direct time actually working on the audit and extended the whole audit timetable significantly. Any extension of audit time means there are additional costs incurred. This is a significant risk area so the work required is substantial and any delays have a greater impact than in some other areas of the accounts.

Audit Fees (cont.)

- PPE depreciation errors – the initial error and the further amendments required to the updated financial statements meant there was additional time and this also extended the audit timetable overall.
- Pension errors – there were 3 errors in relation to pension figures (as reported in the AFR). The most significant of which was a material error in relation to early payment of pension contributions. This again is a significant area of risk for the audit. There is a double impact here. When a payment in advance of contributions is made there is additional work over and above our usual work on pensions to assess the accounting treatment. As we were not aware in advance that the Council intended to make this early payment this work had not been factored into our audit work. This work then led to the identification of the material error which also necessitated additional work including the re-audit of the pension figures and related notes with the revised figures in. There are a number of key procedures that need to be fulfilled to ensure the basis for the adjustments are fully challenged and documented. There were a number of additional calls/correspondence with officers to discuss this. The second error was the estimate of employer pension contributions paid upfront for the period 2020-21 to 2022-23 was understated by £236k in comparison to the actual costs. At the time the Council was in discussion with the Pension Fund regarding settlement of this additional amount and our understanding was that this would be settled in 2021-22. The third error related to transferred staff from the South Ribble Community Leisure Trust where the Council identified a liability in relation to the related share of the pension deficit (£0.831m). This was disclosed as non-adjusting post-balance sheet event. These errors were set out in our audit findings report to members and where relevant the errors were corrected.
- The above issues resulted in additional input from the Engagement Lead and Engagement Manager in terms of consultation and review. For example:
 - a number of meetings with officers at the Council to discuss the error and implications
 - a number of meetings internally with the audit team to discuss the impact on our audit strategy and testing approach
 - additional time to review and challenge the work done
 - internal consultation where errors are material
 - additional time to discuss implications for reporting and the reporting itself
- VFM work – given the Council had statutory recommendations in place from 2019-20 there was considerable work to ensure that sufficient evidence of progress was obtained which included additional work for the audit team and the involvement of specialist advisory colleagues. There were also some delays in receiving some of the information which meant additional resource input was required. In order to assess progress against the Council's previous significant governance issues, the level of evidence and documentation required is substantial. This is a cost to the audit which needs to be born by the Council as it relates to previous failings in Council systems.

Audit Deliverables

2021/22 Deliverables	Planned Date	Status
<p data-bbox="120 408 264 435">Audit Plan</p> <p data-bbox="120 456 1576 555">We are required to issue a detailed audit plan to the Governance Committee setting out our proposed approach in order to give an opinion on the Authority's 2021/22 financial statements and the Auditor's Annual Report on the Authority's Value for Money arrangements.</p>	May 2022	Delivered
<p data-bbox="120 584 416 611">Audit Findings Report</p> <p data-bbox="120 632 1205 659">The Audit Findings Report will be reported to the November Governance Committee.</p>	November 2022	Not yet due
<p data-bbox="120 735 338 762">Auditors Report</p> <p data-bbox="120 783 824 810">This includes the opinion on your financial statements.</p>	November 2022	Not yet due
<p data-bbox="120 887 450 914">Auditor's Annual Report</p> <p data-bbox="120 935 1155 962">This Report communicates the key issues arising from our Value for Money work.</p>	December 2022	Not yet due

Financial Reporting Council annual report

On 29 October, the Financial Reporting Council (FRC) published its annual report setting out the findings of its review of the work of local auditors. The report summarises the results of the FRC's inspections of twenty audit files for the last financial year. A link to the report is here:

[FRC AQR Major Local Audits October 2021](#)

Grant Thornton are one of seven firms which currently delivers local audit work. Of our 330 local government and NHS audits, 87 are currently defined as 'major audits' which fall within the scope of the AQR. This year, the FRC looked at nine of our audits.

Our file review results

The FRC reviewed nine of our audits this year. It graded six opinion files (67%) as 'Good' and requiring no more than limited improvements. No files were graded as requiring significant improvement, representing an impressive year-on-year improvement. The FRC described the improvement in our audit quality as an 'encouraging response by the firm to the quality findings reported in the prior year.' Our Value for Money work continues to be delivered to a high standard, with all of the files reviewed requiring no more than limited improvement. We welcome the FRC findings and conclusions which demonstrate the impressive improvement we have made in audit quality over the past year.

The FRC also identified a number of good practices including effective challenge of management's valuer, use of an auditor's expert to assist with the audit of a highly specialised property valuation, and the extent and timing of involvement by the audit partner on the VFM conclusion.

Our "Opinion" results over the past three years are shown in the table below:

Grade	Number 2020/21	Number 2019/20	Number 2018/19
Good with limited improvements (Grade 1 or 2)	6	1	1
Improvements required (Grade 3)	3	5	2
Significant improvements required (Grade 4)	0	0	1
Total	9	6	4

Our "VFM" results over the past two years are shown in the table below. The FRC did not review VFM in 2018/19:

Grade	Number 2020/21	Number 2019/20
Good with limited improvements (Grade 1 or 2)	6	6
Improvements required (Grade 3)	0	0
Significant improvements required (Grade 4)	0	0
Total	6	6

Financial Reporting Council annual report (cont.)

Quality Assurance Department (QAD) Reviews

In addition to the reviews undertaken by the FRC on major local audits, the QAD team from the ICAEW undertake annual reviews of non-major local audits as well as reviews of Foundation Trusts on behalf of NHSE&I.

The QAD reviewed five of our audits this year and graded all of them (100%) as 'Satisfactory / generally acceptable' for both the financial statements and VFM elements of the audit, which is the highest grading.

Grade	Number 2020/21	Number 2020/19	Number 2019/18
Satisfactory / generally acceptable	5	6	2
Improvement required	0	1	0
Significant improvement required	0	0	0
Total	5	7	2

Our continued commitment to Audit quality and continuous improvement

Our work over the past year has been undertaken during the backdrop of COVID-19, when the public sector has faced the huge challenge of providing essential services and helping safeguard the public during the pandemic. Our NHS bodies in particular have been at the forefront of the public health crisis.

As auditors we have shown compassion to NHS staff deeply affected by the crisis, whilst staying focused on the principles of good governance and financial management, things which are more important than ever. We are very proud of the way we have worked effectively with audited bodies, demonstrating empathy in our work whilst still upholding the highest audit quality.

Over the coming year we will make further investments in audit quality including strengthening our quality and technical support functions, and increasing the level of training, support and guidance for our audit teams. We will address the specific improvement recommendations raised by the FRC, including:

- Enhanced training for local auditors on key assumptions within property valuations, and how to demonstrate an increased level of challenge
- Having formal internal consultations when considering complex technical issues.

As part of our enhanced Value for Money programme, we will focus on identifying the scope for better use of public money, as well as highlighting weaknesses in governance or financial stewardship where we see them.

Conclusion

Local audit plays a critical role in the way public sector audits and society interact, and it depends on the trust and confidence of all those who rely on it. As a firm we're proud to be doing our part to promote good governance, effective stewardship and appropriate use of public funds.

Sector Update

Authorities continue to try to achieve greater efficiency in the delivery of public services, whilst facing the challenges to address rising demand, ongoing budget pressures and social inequality.

Our sector update provides you with an up to date summary of emerging national issues and developments to support you. We cover areas which may have an impact on your organisation, the wider local government sector and the public sector as a whole. Links are provided to the detailed report/briefing to allow you to delve further and find out more.

Our public sector team at Grant Thornton also undertake research on service and technical issues. We will bring you the latest research publications in this update. We also include areas of potential interest to start conversations within the organisation and with audit committee members, as well as any accounting and regulatory updates.

- [Grant Thornton Publications](#)
- [Insights from local government sector specialists](#)
- [Reports of interest](#)
- [Accounting and regulatory updates](#)

More information can be found on our dedicated public sector and local government sections on the Grant Thornton website by clicking on the logos below:

A teal rectangular button with the text "Public Sector" in white, sans-serif font, centered within the button.

Public Sector

A dark purple rectangular button with the text "Local government" in white, sans-serif font, centered within the button.

Local
government

Levelling up White Paper – Department for Levelling Up, Communities and Housing (“DLUCH”)

On 2 February the Department for Levelling Up, Communities and Housing (“DLUCH”) published its Levelling Up White Paper.

The paper states “Levelling up requires a focused, long-term plan of action and a clear framework to identify and act upon the drivers of spatial disparity. Evidence from a range of disciplines tells us these drivers can be encapsulated in six “capitals”:

- Physical capital – infrastructure, machines and housing.
- Human capital – the skills, health and experience of the workforce.
- Intangible capital – innovation, ideas and patents.
- Financial capital – resources supporting the financing of companies.
- Social capital – the strength of communities, relationships and trust.
- Institutional capital – local leadership, capacity and capability.”

The paper also states “This new policy regime is based on five mutually reinforcing pillars.” These are set out and explained as:

- 1) The UK Government is setting clear and ambitious medium-term missions to provide consistency and clarity over levelling up policy objectives.
- 2) Central government decision-making will be fundamentally reoriented to align policies with the levelling up agenda and hardwire spatial considerations across Whitehall.

- 3) The UK Government will empower decision-makers in local areas by providing leaders and businesses with the tools they need.
- 4) The UK Government will transform its approach to data and evaluation to improve local decision-making.
- 5) The UK Government will create a new regime to oversee its levelling up missions, establishing a statutory duty to publish an annual report analysing progress and a new external Levelling Up Advisory Council.

[Levelling Up the United Kingdom - GOV.UK](https://www.gov.uk/levelling-up)
(www.gov.uk)



Grant Thornton – reaction to Levelling up White Paper

On 2 February the Department for Levelling Up, Communities and Housing (“DLUCH”) published its Levelling Up White Paper.

Commenting on the release of the government’s Levelling up White Paper plans, Phil Woolley, Head of Public Sector Consulting, Grant Thornton UK LLP, said:

“The publication of today’s White Paper plans is a welcome first step and it is reassuring to see the government recognise the need for systemic changes in order to deliver its central aim of Levelling up. The ‘12 missions’ can be seen as an attempt to consolidate existing elements of government activity behind a singular banner and now provides a clearer picture of the levelling up opportunity.

“Following a decade of successful regional devolution and mayors, the White Paper marks the next stage of the country’s devolution journey. With government now offering a clear framework of devolved powers and accountability, local leaders will need to embrace the opportunity and collaborate across the public and private sector to ensure they negotiate and then deliver the best deal for their communities. Grant Thornton’s Levelling Up Index shows that the economies of the 10 worst performing local authorities in England are on average over five times smaller than their best performing counterparts - highlighting the scale of the challenge ahead.

“To level up, these areas would need to grow their economies by £12billion, increase employment rates by 6 percentage points, create 1,700 new businesses a year and increase average weekly pay by £200. It is too early to determine whether the measures announced today will be sufficient, but it is a start. Success will ultimately depend on the ability and willingness of local and national government to translate these new frameworks into meaningful change in people’s lives.

“The Spending Review offers the next opportunity for government to show its commitment by realigning departmental objectives behind these new goals.”

Prudential Code and Treasury Management Code – CIPFA

On 20 December CIPFA published the new Prudential Code for Capital Finance in Local Authorities (Prudential Code) and Treasury Management in the Public Services Code of Practice and Cross-Sectoral Guidance Notes (the Treasury Management Code).

CIPFA commented “These two statutory and professional codes are important regulatory elements of the capital finance framework in which local authorities operate. Local authorities are required by regulation to ‘have regard to’ their provisions. These two codes have been published a principles-based consultation from February to April, which was followed by a second consultation on the detailed changes to the code from September to mid-November.

The updated Prudential Code includes some substantive changes. Most notably, the provisions in Code which present the approach to borrowing in advance of need in order to profit from additional sums borrowed have been strengthened. Additionally, the relevant parts of Code have augmented to be clear that borrowing for debt-for-yield investment is not permissible under the Prudential Code. This recognises that commercial activity is part of regeneration but underlines that such transactions do not include debt-for-yield as the primary purpose of the investment or represent an unnecessary risk to public funds.”

The updated Prudential Code removes the "advance of need" terminology and emphasises the legislative basis for borrowing, namely that a local authority can borrow and invest for any legislative function and/or for the prudent management of their financial affairs.

The examples listed in the Code of legitimate prudential borrowing are:

- Financing capital expenditure primarily related to the delivery of a local authority’s functions;
- Temporary management of cash flow within the context of a balanced budget;
- Securing affordability by removing exposure to future interest rate rises; or
- Refinancing current borrowing, including replacing internal borrowing, to manage risk or reflect changing cash flow circumstances.



Good practice in annual reporting – NAO

The National Audit Office (NAO) has published this guide which sets out good practice principles for annual reporting with examples from public sector organisations

The NAO comment that the guide sets out “good-practice principles that we believe underpin good annual reporting. These principles are: Supporting Accountability; Transparency; Accessibility; and the need for the report to be Understandable.”

The NAO further comment “The best annual reports we have seen use these principles to tell the “story” of the organisation. It is important that stakeholders, including the public and Parliament, are able to hold an organisation to account. To do this effectively, stakeholders need to properly understand the organisation’s strategy, key risks that might get in the way of delivering this strategy and the effectiveness of their management, and the amount of taxpayers’ money that has been spent to deliver the outcomes the organisation seeks to achieve.”

The guide draws on examples of good practice from within each of the six sections of an Annual Report:

- Strategy
- Risk
- Operations
- Governance
- Measures of success
- Financial performance
- External factors

Although the guide does not include any local authority examples, those included, and the underlying principles, are equally relevant to all public facing organisations.



The guide can be found here:

[Good practice in annual reporting - National Audit Office \[NAO\] Report](#)



[grantthornton.co.uk](https://www.grantthornton.co.uk)

© 2022 Grant Thornton UK LLP.

'Grant Thornton' refers to the brand under which the Grant Thornton member firms provide assurance, tax and advisory services to their clients and/or refers to one or more member firms, as the context requires. Grant Thornton UK LLP is a member firm of Grant Thornton International Ltd (GTIL). GTIL and the member firms are not a worldwide partnership. GTIL and each member firm is a separate legal entity. Services are delivered by the member firms. GTIL does not provide services to clients. GTIL and its member firms are not agents of, and do not obligate, one another and are not liable for one another's acts or omissions.